

# Information Sheet on Ramsar Wetlands (RIS)

*Categories approved by Recommendation 4.7 (1990), as amended by Resolution VIII.13 of the 8<sup>th</sup> Conference of the Contracting Parties (2002) and Resolutions IX.1 Annex B, IX.6, IX.21 and IX. 22 of the 9<sup>th</sup> Conference of the Contracting Parties (2005).*

## Notes for compilers:

1. The RIS should be completed in accordance with the attached *Explanatory Notes and Guidelines for completing the Information Sheet on Ramsar Wetlands*. Compilers are strongly advised to read this guidance before filling in the RIS.
2. Further information and guidance in support of Ramsar site designations are provided in the *Strategic Framework for the future development of the List of Wetlands of International Importance* (Ramsar Wise Use Handbook 7, 2nd edition, as amended by COP9 Resolution IX.1 Annex B). A 3rd edition of the Handbook, incorporating these amendments, is in preparation and will be available in 2006.
3. Once completed, the RIS (and accompanying map(s)) should be submitted to the Ramsar Secretariat. Compilers should provide an electronic (MS Word) copy of the RIS and, where possible, digital copies of all maps.

---

## 1. Name and address of the compiler of this form:

### Joint Nature Conservation Committee

Monkstone House

City Road

Peterborough

Cambridgeshire PE1 1JY

UK

Telephone/Fax: +44 (0)1733 – 562 626 / +44 (0)1733 – 555 948

Email: [RIS@JNCC.gov.uk](mailto:RIS@JNCC.gov.uk)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY.

DD MM YY

--	--	--

Designation date

--	--	--	--	--	--

Site Reference Number

---

## 2. Date this sheet was completed/updated:

Designated: 14 July 1988

---

## 3. Country:

UK (Scotland)

---

## 4. Name of the Ramsar site:

Din Moss – Hoselaw Loch

---

## 5. Designation of new Ramsar site or update of existing site:

**This RIS is for:** Updated information on an existing Ramsar site

---

## 6. For RIS updates only, changes to the site since its designation or earlier update:

### a) Site boundary and area:

\*\* Important note: If the boundary and/or area of the designated site is being restricted/reduced, the Contracting Party should have followed the procedures established by the Conference of the Parties in the Annex to COP9 Resolution IX.6 and provided a report in line with paragraph 28 of that Annex, prior to the submission of an updated RIS.

### b) Describe briefly any major changes to the ecological character of the Ramsar site, including in the application of the Criteria, since the previous RIS for the site:

**7. Map of site included:**

Refer to Annex III of the *Explanatory Notes and Guidelines*, for detailed guidance on provision of suitable maps, including digital maps.

**a) A map of the site, with clearly delineated boundaries, is included as:**

- i) **hard copy** (required for inclusion of site in the Ramsar List): *yes* ✓ -or- *no* □;
- ii) **an electronic format** (e.g. a JPEG or ArcView image) *Yes*
- iii) **a GIS file providing geo-referenced site boundary vectors and attribute tables** *yes* ✓ -or- *no* □;

**b) Describe briefly the type of boundary delineation applied:**

e.g. the boundary is the same as an existing protected area (nature reserve, national park etc.), or follows a catchment boundary, or follows a geopolitical boundary such as a local government jurisdiction, follows physical boundaries such as roads, follows the shoreline of a waterbody, etc.

The site boundary is the same as, or falls within, an existing protected area.

For precise boundary details, please refer to paper map provided at designation

**8. Geographical coordinates (latitude/longitude):**

55 34 33 N                                  02 18 30 W

**9. General location:**

Include in which part of the country and which large administrative region(s), and the location of the nearest large town.

Nearest town/city: Galashiels

Hoselaw Loch lies at the extreme north-east corner of the foothills of the Scottish Cheviots to the south of the Tweed River, close to the border with England. It is 9 km south-east of Kelso in the former Border county of Roxburghshire.

**Administrative region:** Scottish Borders

**10. Elevation** (average and/or max. & min.) (metres):    **11. Area** (hectares): 50.59

Min.	184
Max.	184
Mean	184

**12. General overview of the site:**

Provide a short paragraph giving a summary description of the principal ecological characteristics and importance of the wetland.

This is a mid-altitude loch that is a wintering roost for Icelandic/Greenland pink-footed geese *Anser brachyrhynchus* and Icelandic greylag geese *Anser anser*.

**13. Ramsar Criteria:**

Circle or underline each Criterion applied to the designation of the Ramsar site. See Annex II of the *Explanatory Notes and Guidelines* for the Criteria and guidelines for their application (adopted by Resolution VII.11).

**6**

**14. Justification for the application of each Criterion listed in 13 above:**

Provide justification for each Criterion in turn, clearly identifying to which Criterion the justification applies (see Annex II for guidance on acceptable forms of justification).

**Ramsar criterion 6 – species/populations occurring at levels of international importance.**

**Qualifying Species/populations (as identified at designation):**

**Species with peak counts in winter:**

Pink-footed goose , <i>Anser brachyrhynchus</i> , Greenland, Iceland/UK	1180 individuals, representing an average of 0.4% of the population (5 year peak mean 1991/92-1995/96)
Greylag goose , <i>Anser anser anser</i> , Iceland/UK, Ireland	2054 individuals, representing an average of 2.3% of the population (Source period not collated)

Contemporary data and information on waterbird trends at this site and their regional (sub-national) and national contexts can be found in the Wetland Bird Survey report, which is updated annually. See [www.bto.org/survey/webs/webs-alerts-index.htm](http://www.bto.org/survey/webs/webs-alerts-index.htm).

**15. Biogeography** (required when Criteria 1 and/or 3 and /or certain applications of Criterion 2 are applied to the designation):

Name the relevant biogeographic region that includes the Ramsar site, and identify the biogeographic regionalisation system that has been applied.

**a) biogeographic region:**

Atlantic

**b) biogeographic regionalisation scheme** (include reference citation):

Council Directive 92/43/EEC

**16. Physical features of the site:**

Describe, as appropriate, the geology, geomorphology; origins - natural or artificial; hydrology; soil type; water quality; water depth, water permanence; fluctuations in water level; tidal variations; downstream area; general climate, etc.

Soil & geology	clay, alluvium, peat, sandstone
Geomorphology and landscape	lowland, hilly
Nutrient status	mesotrophic
pH	alkaline
Salinity	fresh
Soil	mainly organic
Water permanence	usually permanent
Summary of main climatic features	Annual averages (Edinburgh, 1971–2000) ( <a href="http://www.metoffice.com/climate/uk/averages/19712000/sites/edinburgh.html">www.metoffice.com/climate/uk/averages/19712000/sites/edinburgh.html</a> ) Max. daily temperature: 12.2° C Min. daily temperature: 5.1° C Days of air frost: 54.5 Rainfall: 676.2 mm Hrs. of sunshine: 1405.8

**General description of the Physical Features:**

Din Moss – Hoselaw Loch lies on the northern slopes of the Cheviot Hills. Hoselaw Loch is a mid-altitude (c. 180 m a.s.l.) loch that is surrounded by Din Moss – an area of raised bog with associated lagg fens. It is one of the most complete examples of a raised mire in this area of Scotland.

**17. Physical features of the catchment area:**

Describe the surface area, general geology and geomorphological features, general soil types, general land use, and climate (including climate type).

Din Moss – Hoselaw Loch lies on the northern slopes of the Cheviot Hills. Hoselaw Loch is a mid-altitude (c. 180 m a.s.l.) loch that is surrounded by Din Moss – an area of raised bog with associated lagg fens.

**18. Hydrological values:**

Describe the functions and values of the wetland in groundwater recharge, flood control, sediment trapping, shoreline stabilization, etc.

No special values known

**19. Wetland types:**

Inland wetland

Code	Name	% Area
U	Peatlands (including peat bogs swamps, fens)	60.1
O	Freshwater lakes: permanent	26.4
Other	Other	13.5

**20. General ecological features:**

Provide further description, as appropriate, of the main habitats, vegetation types, plant and animal communities present in the Ramsar site, and the ecosystem services of the site and the benefits derived from them.

Aquatic vegetation of Hoselaw Loch is largely confined to emergent fringe communities characterised by *Littorella uniflora*, *Ranunculus hederaceus* and *Carex disticha*, but grading into transition fen on the south-west shore through *Carex rostrata* and on to a peaty raft with *Potentilla palustris*, *Epilobium palustre*, *Phalaris arundinacea* and occasional *Cicuta virosa* and *Ranunculus flammula* and *R. lingua*. This fen community grades into *Salix* carr and *Betula* at higher levels with *Caltha palustris* and *Agrostis stolonifera*, giving way further to the south-west to acid raised bog dominated by *Calluna vulgaris*, *Eriophorum vaginatum*, *Empetrum nigrum* and ten species of *Sphagnum* moss. Woodland of *Quercus*, *Betula* and *Salix* species dominate the edges of the bog on the north and south-west sides and there is significant conifer and *Betula* invasion of the bog surface taking place.

Ecosystem services

**21. Noteworthy flora:**

Provide additional information on particular species and why they are noteworthy (expanding as necessary on information provided in 12. Justification for the application of the Criteria) indicating, e.g. which species/communities are unique, rare, endangered or biogeographically important, etc. *Do not include here taxonomic lists of species present – these may be supplied as supplementary information to the RIS.*

**Nationally important species occurring on the site.****Higher Plants.**

*Cicuta virosa*.

**22. Noteworthy fauna:**

Provide additional information on particular species and why they are noteworthy (expanding as necessary on information provided in 12. Justification for the application of the Criteria) indicating, e.g. which species/communities are unique, rare, endangered or biogeographically important, etc., including count data. *Do not include here taxonomic lists of species present – these may be supplied as supplementary information to the RIS.*

**Birds****Species Information**

**Nationally important species occurring on the site.****Invertebrates.***Nonagria typhae.***23. Social and cultural values:**

Describe if the site has any general social and/or cultural values e.g. fisheries production, forestry, religious importance, archaeological sites, social relations with the wetland, etc. Distinguish between historical/archaeological/religious significance and current socio-economic values.

Aesthetic

Environmental education/ interpretation

Scientific research

**b)** Is the site considered of international importance for holding, in addition to relevant ecological values, examples of significant cultural values, whether material or non-material, linked to its origin, conservation and/or ecological functioning? No

If Yes, describe this importance under one or more of the following categories:

- i) sites which provide a model of wetland wise use, demonstrating the application of traditional knowledge and methods of management and use that maintain the ecological character of the wetland:
- ii) sites which have exceptional cultural traditions or records of former civilizations that have influenced the ecological character of the wetland:
- iii) sites where the ecological character of the wetland depends on the interaction with local communities or indigenous peoples:
- iv) sites where relevant non-material values such as sacred sites are present and their existence is strongly linked with the maintenance of the ecological character of the wetland:

**24. Land tenure/ownership:**

Ownership category	On-site	Off-site
Non-governmental organisation (NGO)	+	
Private	+	+

**25. Current land (including water) use:**

Activity	On-site	Off-site
Nature conservation	+	
Tourism	+	+
Current scientific research	+	+
Arable agriculture (unspecified)		+
Livestock watering hole/pond	+	
Grazing (unspecified)	+	
Hunting: recreational/sport	+	+

**26. Factors (past, present or potential) adversely affecting the site’s ecological character, including changes in land (including water) use and development projects:**

*Explanation of reporting category:*

1. *Those factors that are still operating, but it is unclear if they are under control, as there is a lag in showing the management or regulatory regime to be successful.*
2. *Those factors that are not currently being managed, or where the regulatory regime appears to have been ineffective so far.*

*NA = Not Applicable because no factors have been reported.*

Adverse Factor Category	Reporting Category	Description of the problem (Newly reported Factors only)	On-Site	Off-Site	Major Impact?
No factors reported	NA				

For category 2 factors only.

What measures have been taken / are planned / regulatory processes invoked, to mitigate the effect of these factors?

Is the site subject to adverse ecological change? NO

**27. Conservation measures taken:**

List national category and legal status of protected areas, including boundary relationships with the Ramsar site; management practices; whether an officially approved management plan exists and whether it is being implemented.

Conservation measure	On-site	Off-site
Site/ Area of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI/ASSI)	+	
Special Protection Area (SPA)	+	
Land owned by a non-governmental organisation for nature conservation	+	
Site management statement/plan implemented	+	
Other	+	

**b) Describe any other current management practices:**

The management of Ramsar sites in the UK is determined by either a formal management plan or through other management planning processes, and is overseen by the relevant statutory conservation agency. Details of the precise management practises are given in these documents.

**28. Conservation measures proposed but not yet implemented:**

e.g. management plan in preparation; official proposal as a legally protected area, etc.

No information available

**29. Current scientific research and facilities:**

e.g. details of current research projects, including biodiversity monitoring; existence of a field research station, etc.

**Fauna.**

Numbers of migratory and wintering wildfowl and waders are monitored annually as part of the national Wetland Birds Survey (WeBS) organised by the British Trust for Ornithology, Wildfowl & Wetlands Trust, the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds and the Joint Nature Conservation Committee.

British Trust for Ornithology goose counts.

Whooper Swan monitoring - Swan Study Group.

#### **Environment.**

Hydrological monitoring of bog.

---

### **30. Current communications, education and public awareness (CEPA) activities related to or benefiting the site:**

e.g. visitor centre, observation hides and nature trails, information booklets, facilities for school visits, etc.

Informal and occasional use by Countryside Rangers and Scottish Wildlife Trust.

---

### **31. Current recreation and tourism:**

State if the wetland is used for recreation/tourism; indicate type(s) and their frequency/intensity.

#### **Activities, Facilities provided and Seasonality.**

Summer season tourist traffic on adjacent road.

Winter bird watching - locals, SWT/BTO members.

Occasional botanists in summer.

Occasional guided groups - all seasons but very limited.

---

### **32. Jurisdiction:**

Include territorial, e.g. state/region, and functional/sectoral, e.g. Dept. of Agriculture/Dept. of Environment, etc.

Scottish Executive, Environment and Rural Affairs Department

---

### **33. Management authority:**

Provide the name and address of the local office(s) of the agency(ies) or organisation(s) directly responsible for managing the wetland. Wherever possible provide also the title and/or name of the person or persons in this office with responsibility for the wetland.

Scottish Natural Heritage, 2 Anderson Place, Edinburgh, EH6 5NP

---

### **34. Bibliographical references:**

Scientific/technical references only. If biogeographic regionalisation scheme applied (see 15 above), list full reference citation for the scheme.

#### **Site-relevant references**

Aiken, JMM (1906) A ramble round Yetholm, 11. *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, XIX: p 327

Aiken, JMM (1911) Meeting at Mindrum and Hoselaw Loch. *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, XXI: p 141 144

Anderson, A (1915) List of the less common plants in the area of the Berwickshire Naturalists Club. *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, XXII: 227

Andrew, DG (1968) Review of the ornithological changes in Scotland in 1966 *Scottish Birds*, 5: p 13

Anon (1972) Reserve at Hoselaw Loch and Din Moss. SWT Newsletter No 21. p.24

Atkinson Willes, G (1963) Wildfowl in Great Britain. Monograph of the Nature Conservancy, No. 3. HMSO: London

Baillie, RS (1966) Black necked grebe at Hoselaw Loch. *Scottish Birds*, 4: ~ 239

Baillie, RS (1966) Magpie at Hoselaw Loch. *Scottish Birds*, 4: p 460

Baillie, RS (1966) Pintail and wigeon on Hoselaw Loch. *Scottish Birds*, 4: ~ 456

Baillie, RS (1966) Shoveller on Hoselaw Loch. *Scottish Birds*, 4: p 380

Baillie, RS (1966) Smew at Hoselaw Loch. *Scottish Birds*, 4: p 241

Baillie, RS (1967) Common terns at Hoselaw Loch. *Scottish Birds*, 4: p 573

Bellamy, DJ (1972) Din Moss research project. NERC Research Grant No. 16372. Report ref. GR3/228A, NERC: London

- Birse EL & Robertson, LJ (1970) Assessment of climatic conditions in Scotland: II Accumulated frost and exposure. Map and Explanatory Booklet. Macaulay Institute for Soils Research; Aberdeen
- Birse, EL (1971) Assessment of climatic conditions in Scotland: III Bioclimatic Sub regions. Map and Explanatory Booklet. Macaulay Institute for Soils Research, Aberdeen
- Birse, EL & Dry, FT (1970) Assessment of climatic conditions in Scotland: I Accumulated Temperature and Potential Water Deficit. Map and Explanatory Booklet. Macaulay Institute for Soil Research: Aberdeen
- Bolam, G (1926) The Lepidoptera of Northumberland and the eastern Borders, *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, XXV (1926) p 515 573
- Bolam, G (1912) The Birds of Northumberland and the eastern Borders. Henry Hunter Blair, AL-ICK
- Bolan, G (1928 29) The Lepidoptera of Northumberland and the eastern Borders, *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, XXVI: p 135 323
- Boyd, W B (1914) Localities of less common plants, *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, XXII: p 132
- British Trust for Ornithology (1978) Register of ornithological sites of Great Britain. Unpublished lists
- Brotherston, A (1874) Zoological notes 1873. *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, VII: p 132
- Brotherston, A (1875) Zoological notes. *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, VII: p 500
- Brotherston, A (1879) Zoological notes. *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, VIII: p 525
- Brotherston, A (1881) Ornithological and other notes, 1879 80. *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, IX: p 175
- Chapman, A (1907) Bird life of the Borders. Gurney & Jacks: London
- Clapperton, CM (1970) Evidence for a Cheviot icecap. *Trans. Inst. Br. Geog.* 53. pp 67 68
- Cromwell, JD (1967) Land use settlement from early times in the Parishes of Linton, Yetholm and Morebattle. Hons. Thesis (unpublished) Edinburgh University, Dept. of Geography
- Dennis, RH (1971 76) Scottish bird reports. *Scottish Birds*, 6: p 347. 7: p 107, 324 8: p 211, 395 9: p 173
- Dickson, W (1857) Anniversary address. *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, IV: (1857)
- Donald, AP (1981) A pollen diagram from Pitbladdo, Fife. *Transactions of the Botanical Society of Edinburgh* part 4: 281 289
- Evans, AH (1886) Localities for plants. *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, XI: p 205
- Evans, AH (1911) A fauna of the Tweed Area. D Douglas, EDINBURGH
- Evans, W (1908) Black tern in Tweed and Forth. *Annals. Scott. Nat. Hist.* (1908): p 254
- Faichney, W (1845) The Parish of Linton. in: *New Statistical Account of Scotland*. Vol. III p.145 158. Wm. Blackwood: Edinburgh
- Forsyth, J (1973) Notes re. Hoselaw Loch conservation project, 21st 25th May 1973. M.S. + Typescript sheet Kelso High School. (NCC and SWT files)
- Gordon, JE & Sutherland, DG (eds.) (1993) *Quaternary of Scotland*. Chapman & Hall, London, for Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough (Geological Conservation Review Series, No. 6)
- Gray, R (1882) Ornithological Notes. *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, X. p 197 200
- Greig, DC (1971) South of Scotland: British Regional Geology (3rd edn) Institute of Geological Sciences. HMSO, Edinburgh
- Hall, R (1964) The summer meeting, 1963. *Transactions of the British Bryological Society*, 4: p 752
- Hardy, E (1978) A guide to the birds of Scotland. Constable, London (p.42)
- Hibbert, FA & Switsur, VR (1976) Radiocarbon dating of Flandrian pollen zones in Wales and N England. *New Phytol*, 77: pp 793 807
- Jeffrey, A (1864) The history and antiquities of Roxburghshire. 4 Vols: Seaton and MacKenzie: Edinburgh
- Johnston, G (1853) The natural history of the eastern Borders Van Voorst: London
- Kingfisher (1966) Din Moss. *Hawick Express*, 12.5.66
- Knox, DA (1972) Some ecological studies on two Scottish mire systems. Unpublished M.S. at Botany Department, University of Durham
- Leishman, J (1937) Linton leaves. Oliver & Boyd: Edinburgh
- Macgregor, KS (1959) Current notes: Spring Migration. *Scottish Birds* I, 128
- MacMillan, AT (1969 70) Scottish bird reports 1968, 1969. *Scottish Birds*: 5: p 302 6: p 62
- Mannion, AM (1975) Late Quaternary palaeogeographical environments: a study from Roxburghshire. PhD. Thesis: University of Bristol



- Mannion, AM (1978) Late Quaternary deposits from Linton Loch S E Scotland. I Absolute and relative pollen analyses of limnic sediments. *Journ. Biogeog.* 5, 193 206
- Mannion, AM (1981) The diatom assemblage of a marl core from Linton Loch. *Trans. Bot. Soc. Edinb.* \_ , 263 270
- Musgrove, AJ, Pollitt, MS, Hall, C, Hearn, RD, Holloway, SJ, Marshall, PE, Robinson, JA & Cranswick, PA (2001) *The Wetland Bird Survey 1999–2000: wildfowl and wader counts*. British Trust for Ornithology, Wildfowl and Wetlands Trust, Royal Society for the Protection of Birds & Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Slimbridge.  
[www.wwt.org.uk/publications/default.asp?PubID=14](http://www.wwt.org.uk/publications/default.asp?PubID=14)
- Newbould, C (1981) Open water habitat survey card. NCC files. Unpublished
- Ogilvie, A (1792) The Parish of Linton. In: Sir J Sinclair (ed.) *The Statistical Account of Scotland*, III pp 119 123. Wm. Creech: Edinburgh
- Porteous, A M (1937) Ornithological and other notes. *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, XXIX n 112
- Ragg, JM (1960) Soils of the country round Kelso and Lauder. *Memr Soil Survey Scotland* (Sheets 25 and 26). Macaulay Inst. for Soils Research. HMSO, Edinburgh
- Ragg, JM & Fitty, DW (1967) Soils of the country round Haddington and Eyemouth. *Soils Survey of Scotland*, Macaulay Institute for Soil Research. HMSO: London
- Reid CL (1914) The pedigree of the Family of Ker of Cessford, Greenhead and Prymside Loch, and later of Hoselaw and of Ker Reid of Hoselaw. Andrew Reid & Co. Ltd: Newcastle
- Richmond, K (1968) A regional guide to the birds of Scotland. Constable: London (p.88)
- Rintoul, LJ (1929) Black tailed godwits at Hoselaw Loch, Roxburghshire. *Scottish Naturalist* (1929), p 163
- Rintoul, LJ & Baxter, EV (1920) The shoveller as a Scottish breeding species. *Scottish Naturalist* (1920), p 155
- Rintoul, LJ & Baxter, EV (1953) *The birds of Scotland*. 2 Vol. Oliver & Boyd, Edinburgh
- Scottish Peat Committee (1961) *Scottish peat survey reports* Department of Agriculture and Fisheries. DE 82488/1
- Scottish Wildlife Trust (1972) Research at Hoselaw Loch and Din Moss. *SWT Newsletter*, 21: p 24
- Selby, PJ (1838) On the effects produced upon animal and vegetable life by the winter of 1838. *History of the Berwickshire Naturalists' Club*, I p 188 193
- Smith, AJ (1961) Red headed smew from Yetholm and Hoselaw Lochs. *Scottish Birds*, I: p 433
- Stroud, DA, Chambers, D, Cook, S, Buxton, N, Fraser, B, Clement, P, Lewis, P, McLean, I, Baker, H & Whitehead, S (eds.) (2001) *The UK SPA network: its scope and content*. Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Peterborough (3 vols.)  
[www.jncc.gov.uk/UKSPA/default.htm](http://www.jncc.gov.uk/UKSPA/default.htm)
- Switsur, VR & West, RG (1973) University of Cambridge. Radiocarbon measurements, XII. *Radiocarbon*, 15: (3), pp 534 544
- Warburg, EF (1964) New vice county records (Musci). *Transactions of the British Bryological Society*, 4: p 72

---

Please return to: **Ramsar Secretariat, Rue Mauverney 28, CH-1196 Gland, Switzerland**  
Telephone: +41 22 999 0170 • Fax: +41 22 999 0169 • email: [ramsar@ramsar.org](mailto:ramsar@ramsar.org)